Exhibit 1

Plaintiff Eight Mile Style, LLC's Responses to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories and Schedule 1 dated March 21, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EIGHT MILE STYLE, LLC and MARTINAFFILIATED, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

Case No. 2:07-cv-13164 Hon. Anna Diggs Taylor Magistrate Judge Donald A. Scheer

APPLE COMPUTER, INC. and AFTERMATH RECORDS d/b/a AFTERMATH ENTERTAINMENT,

Defendants.

Howard Hertz (P26653) Hertz Schram PC 1760 South Telegraph Road, #300 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302 (248) 335-5000 hhertz@hertzschram.com Richard S. Busch (TN BPR#14594)
King & Ballow
1100 Union Street Plaza
315 Union Street
Nashville, TN 37201
(615) 259-3456
rbusch@kingballow.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

PLAINTIFF EIGHT MILE STYLE, LLC'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST SET OF INTEROGATORIES

Plaintiff Eight Mile Style, LLC ("Eight Mile") provides the following objections and responses to the First Set of Interrogatories ("Interrogatories") propounded by Defendants Apple Inc. (named as Apple Computer, Inc.) and Aftermath Records d/b/a Aftermath Entertainment.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following General Objections apply to and are incorporated in each and every response to each and every Interrogatory, whether or not such General Objections are expressly incorporated by reference in such response.

1. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they collectively or individually seek information subject to or protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney

work preduct privilege or any other privilege or protection from disclosure. Eight Mile hereby invokes all such privileges to the extent implicated by each Interrogatory and excludes privileged and protected information from its responses to the Interrogatories. Any disclosure of information protected by those privileges is inadvertent, and is not intended to waive any privileges or protection.

- 2. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they purport to impose on Eight Mile any obligation that is different from or greater than any imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, or any other applicable law or rule.
- 3. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories as duplicative, unduly burdensome, and harassing to the extent they seek information that is equally available to Defendants, or information that could be derived or ascertained by Defendants with substantially the same effort that would be required of Eight Mile from review of the documents produced in this case.
- 4. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information that is not in Eight Mile's possession, custody, or control, or that is publicly available.
- 5. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information that is confidential, proprietary, trade secret information, and/or competitively sensitive material. Eight Mile will disclose such responsive, non-privileged information only upon entry of, and in accordance with the terms of, an appropriate protective order.
- 6. To the extent that the Interrogatories seek information concerning an identified contention or factual issue, Eight Mile objects that the Interrogatories are premature. Discovery in this case recently commenced, and Eight Mile has not completed its investigation of the facts relevant to this case. Eight Mile's responses are necessarily preliminary and are made without

prejudice to its right to disclose, introduce or rely upon information that may be later discovered or produced.

- 7. In responding to the Interrogatories, Eight Mile does not waive, or intend to waive, any privilege or objection, including, but not limited to, any objections to the competency, relevance, materiality, or admissibility of any of the information disclosed in response to the Interrogatories. No objection or response made in these responses and objections shall be deemed to constitute a representation by Eight Mile as to the existence or non-existence of the information requested.
- 8. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent any Interrogatory requires Eight Mile to provide information that is different from or at a different time than as required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2).
- 9. Eight Mile objects to the Interrogatories as vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent any Interrogatory commands or requires Eight Mile to provide responses or documents in any manner or to any extent that is different that the scope provided by Rules 33 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Identify all works for which You seek relief through Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General Objections, Eight Mile responds that any relevant information that may be within the scope of this Interrogatory may be

determined by Defendant's examination of the Complaint filed in this matter, in particular paragraph 8, and collective Exhibit A attached to the Complaint. Defendants are in possession of the Complaint and Exhibit A referenced therein and the burden of deriving that information is substantially the same for Defendants as for Eight Mile and therefore Eight Mile refers Defendants to same. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Further, see Schedule 1, Plaintiffs' Compositions, attached hereto. Discovery in this case is ongoing, and Eight Mile reserves the right to supplement or amend this response at a later time.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

For each work identified in Your response to Interrogatory No. 1, above, Identify all Persons who have an ownership interest in that work.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

See Response to Interrogatory 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Identify all Persons with knowledge of any of the matters alleged in Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad, ambiguous and unduly burdensome in that it is not possible for Eight Mile to know "all persons" who have "knowledge" of the same, or what an unknown third person may have read about the Complaint or the allegations therein. Eight Mile further objects on the grounds that the Interrogatory is vague and ambiguous with respect to the term "knowledge" of the Complaint and its allegations. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information

requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states Joel Martin, who may be contacted through Plaintiffs' counsel of record.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

For each claim set forth in Your Complaint, separately Identify the amount of damages and/or losses that You have allegedly suffered under each claim, and provide a detailed calculation illustrating how You arrived at each amount and the methodology used to reach each damage calculation.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states that any relevant information that may be within the scope of this Interrogatory may be determined by Defendants' examination of the Complaint filed in this matter. Defendants are in possession of the Complaint; therefore, the burden of deriving that information is substantially the same for Defendants as for Eight Mile. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d).

As set forth in the Complaint, Eight Mile and Martin Affiliated, LLC contend that as a result of Defendants' willful copyright infringement by the authorized reproduction, distribution

and sale of Plaintiffs' copyrighted compositions identified in Exhibit A to the Complaint, Plaintiffs are entitled to their actual damages (which is the subject of expert opinion) and the profits of Apple that are attributable to the digital reproduction, sale, and distribution of Plaintiffs' compositions, or alternatively, statutory damages of up to \$150,000 per act of infringement. Plaintiffs also seek additional remedies including attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505, injunctive relief and declaratory relief.

Discovery in this case is ongoing, and Eight Mile reserves the right to supplement this response at a later time.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Eight Mile and Martin have never authorized Universal to license the works to Apple," as alleged in Paragraph 12 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states to the best of its knowledge, Plaintiffs have never authorized Universal to license Plaintiffs' compositions to Apple. Plaintiffs are the owners of the composition copyrights set forth in Exhibit A to the Complaint, and Schedule 1 identified in response to Interrogatory 1. As the exclusive owners of the musical composition copyrights, the exclusive right, among others,

to reproduce and distribute those copyrighted works resides with Plaintiffs or those who Plaintiffs authorize to reproduce or distribute said works. Plaintiffs have not authorized Apple, or permitted Aftermath on Plaintiffs' behalf to authorize Apple, to reproduce, distribute and sell Plaintiffs' copyrighted compositions, much less reproduce, distribute and sell them without compensation to either or both of the Plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs specifically have rejected licenses requested and proposed by Aftermath for digital phonorecord delivery (DPD) to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs advised Aftermath that Plaintiffs would not execute the DPD licenses prepared by, or for, Aftermath. Specifically, Joel Martin advised Chad Gary, Pat Blair, and others at UMG, Aftermath's co-venturer¹ that Plaintiffs would not execute Aftermath's standard licenses for digital phonorecord deliveries. If any digital licenses were to be executed at all, they would have to contain restrictions and limitations as dictated by Plaintiffs, including but not limited to, (1) limit on duration of term, (2) a specified territory and (3) requirements regarding accounting. Aftermath was advised that Plaintiffs would only execute a digital license prepared by Plaintiffs. For each proposed license sent to Plaintiffs by Aftermath which contained a provision purporting to license the digital reproduction and distribution of Plaintiffs' compositions, such licenses were denied, were not executed and were not returned to Aftermath but such rejected licenses were retained by Plaintiffs. Aftermath subsequently sent modified licenses for the reproduction and distribution of Plaintiffs' works in a

¹ Defendant Aftermath Records doing business as Aftermath Entertainment ("Aftermath") is a joint venture between three entities: (1) Interscope Records, a California general partnership (the "Interscope Partnership"), (2) Interscope Records, an unincorporated division of UMG Recordings, Inc., a Delaware corporation authorized to do business in the State of California ("UMG"), and (3) ARY, Inc., a California corporation ("ARY"). The Interscope Records, a Partnership, is a general partnership comprised of three entities: (a) Interscope Records, an unincorporated division of UMG, (b) UMG, and (c) PRI Productions, Inc., a Delaware Corporation.

physical format only, and omitted provisions regarding the digital reproduction and distribution of Plaintiffs' compositions, which modified licenses comported with Plaintiffs refusal to execute Aftermath's proposed digital licenses of Plaintiffs' works.

Further, Joel Martin advised Pat Blair of Aftermath (see fn.1) to segregate all of Plaintiffs' licenses such that none of Plaintiffs' licenses would authorize digital reproduction and distribution, but only the mechanical reproduction and distribution of physical product.

In addition, Plaintiffs are cognizant of the difference between a mechanical license for physical product, such as a compact disc, and a DPD license for the reproduction and distribution of Plaintiffs' compositions in a digital format.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Eight Mile and Martin have never authorized Universal to engage in reproduction or distribution of the digital transmissions through third parties or otherwise," as alleged in Paragraph 12 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

See Response to Interrogatory 5.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Universal has, on any number of occasions, asked Eight Mile or Martin to execute agreements allowing Apple to reproduce and distribute the digital transmissions, but Eight Mile and Martin have not provided that permission," as alleged in Paragraph 12 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7

See Response to Interrogatory 5. Further, on a single occasion, Plaintiffs may have entered into a contract with Universal with a very narrow scope of rights granted for mastertones

to cell phones, with a limited term and a carefully constructed limitation of rights.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Eight Mile and Martin have demanded that Apple cease and desist its reproduction and distribution of the digital transmissions of the Compositions, and Apple has refused to cease and desist" as alleged in Paragraph 14 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states that subsequent to the audit performed by the Gary Cohen Corporation, Plaintiffs put Aftermath on notice of the accounting irregularities, including those with respect to the digital distribution of Plaintiffs' composition. Plaintiffs also sent a letter to Apple on July 27, 2007 instructing Apple to cease and desist from the further unauthorized use of Plaintiffs' compositions. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d).

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Apple's conduct has at all times been knowing and willful," as alleged in Paragraph 18 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states at no time did Apple enter into any agreement with Plaintiffs, owners of the musical composition copyrights, to digitally reproduce, synchronize, publicly perform and/or distribute Plaintiffs' copyrighted compositions, yet Apple reproduced, synchronized, publicly performed and/or distributed (and continues to do so) Plaintiffs' compositions without authorization from Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' authorized agent. Further, Plaintiffs sent a cease and desist letter to Apple on July 27, 2007; however, Apple has knowingly and willfully failed to stop the infringement of Plaintiffs' compositions. Moreover, this action commenced on July 30, 2007 and Apple filed its Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint on September 14, 2007; however, Apple has knowingly and willfully failed to stop the infringement of Plaintiffs' compositions despite the commencement of legal action.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Apple's intentionally wrongful conduct described herein has intentionally interfered in Eight Mile's and Martin's existing and prospective relationships with end users of the Compositions who download the Compositions via iTunes," as alleged in Paragraph 21 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seek a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states Plaintiffs own interests in copyrighted musical compositions (see Response to Interrogatory No. 1 and Schedule 1). Absent a violation of any of Plaintiffs' exclusive rights, Plaintiffs would have entered into agreements with end users of Plaintiffs' works; however, Apple deprived Plaintiffs of the right to enter into such agreements by usurping Plaintiffs' role as the exclusive rights holder.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Apple's actions described above constitute unfair competition with respect to Eight Mile and Martin," as alleged in Paragraph 24 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight

Mile states see Response to Interrogatory 10. The same facts set forth in Interrogatory 10 cause Apple's conduct to be unfair competition. Plaintiffs, as owners of the copyrighted musical compositions identified in Interrogatory No. 1 and Schedule 1 attached hereto, have proprietary rights to deal with (or not) third parties who wish to use Plaintiffs' works. Apple has entered into a business relationship with end users and with Aftermath that effectively competes with Plaintiffs for the use of Plaintiffs' works. Holding themselves out as owners of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works when they are not constitutes unfair competition, among other violations of law.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Apple's wrongful conduct has been knowing and willful," as alleged in Paragraph 25 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states Defendants have knowledge that neither of them are owners of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works. Further, Apple is aware that the unauthorized use of copyrighted works is impermissible. Punch Andrews of Michigan, who is the manager for Kid Rock and Bob Seger put Apple on notice that Apple did not have the proper publishing licenses and demanded those works be taken down from Apple's store, iTunes. Apple responded by taking down the

unlicensed works from iTunes. Thus, on demand, Apple removed the unauthorized material thereby recognizing its obligation to remove those works from its store because Apple did not have valid licenses for those works.

In this action, despite knowledge of the foregoing, Apple continued to reproduce, distribute, sell and display Eminem's recordings containing Plaintiffs' musical compositions in violation of Plaintiffs' rights.

Plaintiffs sent a cease and desist letter to Apple on July 27, 2007 which was ignored. Finally, this lawsuit was filed on July 30, 2007 and Apple continues to infringe in knowing, willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Apple's wrongful conduct constitutes unfair competition under state law," as alleged in Paragraph 28 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states see Response to Interrogatory 10.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that "Apple's conduct constitutes an unfair, unconscionable, deceptive method, act or practice in the conduct of trade or commerce under

MCL 445.903," as alleged in Paragraph 31 of Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states see Response to Interrogatory 10. Further, Defendants do not own, do not control and do not have the right to reproduce, synchronize, publicly perform, distribute or display, Plaintiffs' copyrighted works.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Identify all facts that support Your contention that the terms of March 9, 1998 and July 2, 2003 Agreements (including without limitation the terms of Paragraph 6, captioned, "Mechanical Royalties," of each such Agreement) do not provide any defense to any of the claims in Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to the extent this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks a legal conclusion and to the extent the information requested requires an expert opinion.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states: The language used in the Agreements demonstrate that digital uses were not contemplated or covered under Paragraph 6. Furthermore, the Agreements do not contain or constitute, or even purport to constitute, a license of any kind. Paragraph 6 merely speaks to certain royalty rates that will apply under certain licenses that will be given to Aftermath and its distributors/licensees but omits numerous material terms and conditions that are essential to Further, the parties' subsequent conduct demonstrates their creating such a license. understanding as to the actual granting of licenses and the procedures therefor. For example, even with respect to the granting of mechanical licenses for physical product, Plaintiffs have used their own license forms with terms and conditions that are acceptable to them, and Paragraph 6 was not understood by the parties to constitute a mechanical license. Furthermore, the course of conduct between Plaintiffs and Aftermath (including Interscope and Universal) shows that Plaintiffs would only enter into licenses for digital uses (i.e. the mastertone agreement) approved by, and acceptable to, Plaintiffs, including but not limited to a limit to duration, territory, and requirements for accounting, and Universal sent proposed licenses to Plaintiffs for digital use that were rejected as not being acceptable. As discovery is ongoing, Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement this response.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Identify all wrongful acts that You contend Aftermath has committed regarding any of the matters alleged in Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks expert opinion or expert testimony. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states Aftermath purported to license Eight Miles' copyrighted musical compositions to Defendant Apple, which such compositions Aftermath neither owns or controls. See also Response to Interrogatory Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, and 15.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Identify all Persons who have had any involvement whatsoever in the drafting, negotiation, execution or performance of any agreement relating to the distribution in digital format of any of the works at issue in Your Complaint.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks expert opinion or expert testimony. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks confidential or commercial information, trade secrets or confidential research.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states it only enters into licenses for the digital distribution of its compositions which Eight Mile has prepared. Eight Mile has entered into certain ringtone and mastertone licenses which have been drafted and/or negotiated by Joel Martin, Mark Levinsohn, and Alan Skiena c/o Mark Levinsohn. Universal and Aftermath have knowledge of those individuals within their organization with knowledge.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Identify all Persons involved in the drafting, negotiation, execution or performance of any part of the March 9, 1998 Agreement, including without limitation Paragraph 6 thereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks expert opinion or expert testimony. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states Joel Martin; Howard Hertz, Esq., Hertz Schram PC, 1760 South Telegraph Road, #300, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48302; Peter Paterno, Esq., King Holmes Paterno & Berliner LLP, 1900 Ave Of The Stars, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067; and Marnie Nieves and Scott Aronson, c/o Kelly Klaus, Esq., Munger, Tolles, & Olson LLP, 355 South Grand Ave., 35th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-1560, and Paul Rosenberg, New York.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Identify all Persons involved in the drafting, negotiation, execution or performance of any part of the July 2, 2003 Agreement, including without limitation Paragraph 6 thereof.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks expert opinion or expert testimony. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states Joel Martin; Mark Levinsohn, Esq., Levinsohn, & Arnay, LLP, 1790 Broadway, 10th Floor, New York, New York 10019; Gary Stiffleman, Ziffren, Brittenham, Branca, Fischer, Gilbert-Lurie, Stiffelman & Cook LLP, 1801 Century Park, W. Los Angeles, California 90067; and Rand Hoffman and Lisa Rogell, Interscope Records, 2220 Colorado Avenue, Santa Monica, CA 90404.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Identify all communications You have had with any Person (including without limitation Eminem, or any of Eminem's representatives) regarding this lawsuit, or regarding the lawsuit captioned F.B.T. Productions, LLC et al. v. Aftermath Records d/b/a Aftermath Entertainment et al., Case No. CV-07-03314 (C.D. Cal.), or any of the matters alleged in either action.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks expert opinion or expert testimony. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent the information requested is within the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks discovery in a separate action entitled F.B.T. Productions, LLC, et al. v. Aftermath Records d/b/a Aftermath Entertainment, et al., Case No. CV-07-03314 (C.D. Cal.), which such discovery is subject to a separate scheduling order inapplicable to this action.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile states it communicated with Gary Stiffleman, Ziffren, Brittenham, Branca, Fischer, Gilbert-Lurie, Stiffelman & Cook LLP, 1801 Century Park, W. Los Angeles, California 90067.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Identify all Persons who participated in the preparation of Your responses to these Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Eight Mile incorporates its General Objections above. Eight Mile specifically objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Eight Mile further objects to this Interrogatory as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Subject to Eight Mile's General and Specific Objections, Eight Mile responds that Plaintiffs' counsel and Plaintiffs participated in the preparation of Eight Mile's responses.

DATED: March 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

KING & BALLOW

Richard S. Busch (TN Bar No. 014594)

1100 Union Street Plaza

315 Union Street

Nashville, TN 37201

(615) 259-3456

rbusch@kingballow.com

Howard Hertz (P26653)

Hertz Schram PC

1760 S. Telegraph Road, Suite 300

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

(248) 335-5000

hhertz@hertzschram.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was served via U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, and via e-mail to the following:

Counsel	On beha	alf of:					
Daniel D. Quick, Esq.	Apple	Inc.	anđ	Aftermath	Records	d/b/a	Aftermath
Dickinson Wright PLLC	Entertai	nment					
38525 Woodward Ave							
Suite 2000							
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304							
(t): (248) 433-7200							
(e): dquick@dickinsonwright.com							
Kelly M. Klaus, Esq.							
Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP							
355 South Grand Ave							
Suite 3500							
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560							
(t): (213) 683-9238							
(e): kelly.klaus@mto.com							

this 21st day of March 2008.

2:07-civ- Eight Mile Style, et al. v. Apple Computers, et. Al

SCHEDULE 1 - PLAINTIFFS' COMPOSITIONS

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
40 oz	D12 World	PA 1-245-114	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
6 In The Morning	D12 World	PA 1-245-103	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
8 Mile	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-555	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
8 Miles & Running	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-569	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
American Psyco II [sic]	D12 World	PA 1-325-368	WB Music Corporation,
			Ain't Nothing But Funkin'
			Music, Elvis Mambo Music,
			Music of Windswept, Eight
			Mile Style Music, Runyon
			Avenue, EMI April Music,
			Inc., Idiotic Biz, Swiftly
			McVay Publishing, Hits
			From Da Bong Music, BMG
			Songs, Inc., Bad Boy Music,
			Six July Publishing, Nash
NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P			Notes Music, Careers- BMG
			Music, Inc., Juvenile Hell

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
Ass Like That	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-394	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Average Man	Cheers	PA 1-245-073	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Big Weenie	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-392	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Bitch	D12 World	PA 1-245-115	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Business	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-696-276	Eight Mile Style
Cheers	Cheers	PA 1-245-074	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated IIC
Cleanin' Out My Closet	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-403	Eight Mile Style
Cleanin' Out My Closet	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 1-073-403	Ensign Music Corporation,
	(Deluxe Version)	(COHM)	Eight Mile Style
Crazy In Love	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-397	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Curtains Close	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-390	Eight Mile Style, LLC,
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Curtains Up	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-673-526	Eight Mile Style
Curtains Up	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-387	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Don't Come Down	Cheers	PA 1-245-078	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Don't Push Me	Get Rich or Die Tryin'	PA 1-204-560	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION NUMBER	CLAIMANT
Drips	The Eminem Show	PA -104-808	Ensign Music Corporation; Eight Mile Style; EMI April Music; Dirty Works Music; Obie Trice Publishing
Dude (Skit)	D12 World	PA 1-245-084	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Em Calls Paul	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-393	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Encore	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-406	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Evil Deeds	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-402	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Final Thought	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-389	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Follow My Life	Cheers	PA 1-245-107	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Gatman And Robbin	The Eminem Show The Massacre	PA 1-364-299	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Get My Gun	D12 World	PA 1-245-109	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Git Up	D12 World	PA 1-245-111	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC
Got Some Teeth	Cheers	PA 1-245-108	Eight Mile Style, LLC; Martin Affiliated, LLC

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION NIMBED	CLAIMANT
		NOMBEN	
Guilty Conscience	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 954-422 (COHM)	Ensign Music Corporation,
	(Deluxe Version)		Eight mile Style Music (
			a.k.a 8 Mile Style Music),
			Ain't Nothin'Goin' on But
			F****n, Colgems – EMI
			Screen Gems, EMI Music,
			Inc.
Hailie's Song	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-725-252	Eight Mile Style
Hands on You	Cheers	PA 1-245-080	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
High All The Time	Get Rich or Die Tryin'	PA 1-204-559	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
		A BANKAN MARIA MARIANA MARIA M	Martin Affiliated, LLC
Hood Rats	Cheers	PA 1-245-106	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
How Come	D12 World	PA 1-245-112	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
I'm Supposed To Die Tonight	The Eminem Show The	PA 1-364-300	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
	Massacre		Martin Affiliated, LLC
Just Don't Give a Fuck	Curtain Call – The Hits	Pau 2-333-090	Eight Mile Style Music
	(Deluxe Version)		
Just Lose It	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-268-078	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Keep Talking	D12 World	PA 1-245-110	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Lady	Cheers	PA 1-245-102	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Leave Dat Boy Alone	D12 World	PA 1-245-085	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
Like Toy Soldiers	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-400	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Lose Yourself	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-152-688	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Lose Yourself (Parody done by	Poodle Hat	PA 1-152-688	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
Weird Al "Couch Potato)			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Love Me	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-567	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Love You More	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-408	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Loyalty	D12 World	PA 1-245-104	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Many Men	Get Rich or Die Tryin'	PA 1-204-558	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Mockingbird	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-396	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Mosh	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-401	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
My 1 st Single	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-405	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
)			Martin Affiliated, LLC
My Band	D12 World	PA 1-245-105	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
My Dad's Gone Crazy	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-697-183	Eight Mile Style
Never Enough	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-403	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Never Forget Ya	Cheers	PA 1-245-101	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
On Fire	The Hunger For More	PA 1-256-131	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
One Shot 2 Shot	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-398	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Outro	Cheers	PA 1-245-076	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Patiently Waiting	Get Rich or Die Tryin'	PA 1-204-561	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Paul	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-388	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Places to Go	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-556	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Puke	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-404	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Rabbit Run	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-568	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Rain Man	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-391	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Rap Game	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-570	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Ricy Ticky Toc	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-409	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Role Model	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 954-427 (COHM)	Ensign Music Corporation,
	(Deluxe Version)		Eight Mile Style Music (a.k.a
			8 Mile Style Music), Ain't
			Nothin' Goin' On But
			F****, Hard Working
			Black Folks

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
Say Goodbye to Hollywood	The Eminem Show	PA 1-104-945	Ensign Music Corporation;
		(сонм)	Eight Mile Style; Music of
			Windswept; 5 Card Music
Say What You Say	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-017	Ensign Music Corporation,
			Eight Mile Style Music, WB
			Music, Ain't Nothin'
			Goin' But Funkin' Music,
			Music of Windswept, 5 Card
			Music, Feemstro Music
Shit Hits the Fan	Cheers	PA 1-245-077	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Shit on You	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 1-164-487	Ensign Music, LLC, Eight
	(Deluxe Version)	(COHM)	Mile Style Music, Screen
			Gems, EMI Music, Idiotic
			Biz Music, EMI April, Dirty
			Works Music, McVey
			Music, Runyon Avenue
			Music, acKenneth Bell Jr.
Sing for the Moment	The Eminem Show	PA 1-104-947	Ensign Music Corporation;
		(COHM)	Eight Mile Style
Soldier	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-064	Ensign Music Corporation;
		(COHM)	Eight Mile Style
Spend Some Time	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-395	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Spit Shine	8 Mile Soundtrack	PA 1-204-557	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC

立てこれではこれ	ALBIM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
Spread Your Shit	Cheers	PA 1-245-081	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Square Dance	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-065	Ensign Music Corporation;
		(COHM)	Eight Mile Style
Steve Berman	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-697-161	Eight Mile Style
Steve's Coffee House (Skit)	D12 World	PA 1-245-086	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Superman	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-066	Ensign Music Corporation;
			Eight Mile Style
The Kiss	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-782-860	Eight Mile Style
The Real Slim Shady	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 980-855 (COHM)	8 Mile Style Music (a.k.a
	(Deluxe Version)		Eight Mile Style Music),
			Ensign Music Corporation,
			Bug Music, Strawberry
			Blonde Music, WB Music,
			Music of Windswept, 5 Card
			Music, Ain't Nothin' Goin'
			on but F***n Music
Til The End	The Hunger For More	PA 1-256-130	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
Till I Collapse	The Eminem Show	PAu 2-697-181	Eight Mile Style
Warrior, Part 2	The Hunger For More	PA 1-256-133	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
We All Die One Day	Cheers	PA 1-245-075	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC
We As Americans	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-407	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
			Martin Affiliated, LLC

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
When the Music Stops	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-063	Ensign Music Corporation;
		(COHM)	Eight Mile Style Music, EMI
			April Music, Idiotic Biz
			Music, Dirty Works Music,
			McVey Music, Runyon
			Avenue Music, Emi
			Blackwood, Foolproof
			Publishing, Feemstro Music
Without Me	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 1-073-070	Ensign Music Corporation;
	(Deluxe Version)	(COHM)	Eight Mile Style Music; Bell
			Publishing Designee;
			Satisfaction Fullfilled, Ltd.;
			Unforgettable Music, Ltd.;
			Bug House, Inc.; Buffalo
			Music
Without Me	Curtain Call – The Hits	PA 1-153-337	Reach Global, Inc., Nuez
	(Deluxe Version)	(COHM)	Music, Ensign Music
			Corporation, Eight Mile
			Style, Satisfaction Fulfilled,
			Ltd., Unforgettable Music,
			Ltd., bug House, Inc.,
			Buffalo Music

COMPOSITION TITLE	ALBUM TITLE	REGISTRATION	CLAIMANT
		NUMBER	
Without Me	The Eminem Show	PA 1-073-070	Ensign Music Corporation;
		(СОНМ)	Eight Mile Style Music; Bell
			Publishing Designee;
			Satisfaction Fullfilled, Ltd.;
			Unforgettable Music, Ltd.;
			Bug House, Inc.; Buffalo
			Music
Yellow Brick Road	Encore (Deluxe Version)	PA 1-295-399	Eight Mile Style, LLC;
	water-		Martin Affiliated, LLC